

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. CO. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/911,814	07/24/2001	Vasily A. Topolkaraev	11302-1230	6279
7590 07/22/2004			EXAMINER	
ANDREW D. STOVER BRINKS, HOFER, GILSON & LIONE			MCCLENDON, SANZA L	
NBC TOWER - SUITE 3600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
455 NORTH CITYFRONT PLAZA DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60611-5599			1711	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/911,814	TOPOLKARAEV ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sanza L McClendon	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/21	1/2004.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	· ·		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/2002. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear if applicant is intending the draw or stretch ratio to be a machined direction drawn, longitudinal direction drawn or a transverse direction drawn ratio. Clarification if requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 14, and 16-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Webster (5,782,787).

Webster et al teaches moisture-responsive absorbent wound dressings. Said wound dressings comprise a polymer foam layer and a moisture permeable layer (backing layer), wherein said foam layer comprises a plurality of discrete zones on at least one surface (surface closest to wound). When said dressing is placed against a wound surface, exudate is absorbed by the first surface of the polymeric foam layer between

Art Unit: 1711

the zones and the foam layer swells. The swellability on contact with water of the polymeric zone material is less than that of the foam layer the zones move apart to expose more of the polymeric foam layer. The foam layer swells, such that the more exudate the more the foam swells, the less the exudate the more the foam will contract into its original position.

Said foam layer comprises a conformable hydrophilic synthetic polymer, which is capable of adsorbing wound exudates. Said discrete zones comprise blend of different polymers. Said backing layer (moisture vapor permeable layer) will be coextensive with the foam layer and aides as a control mechanism for the escape of moisture vapor from the foam layer. This appears to anticipate claim 9. Said moisture vapor permeable film is preferably a continuous elastic conformable film prepared from polyether, polyester polyurethane, or blends of polyurethane with incompatible polymers, such as polyolefins. The preferred films are polyurethanes under the band name Estane, such as Estane 5714F—see column 5, lines 55-67. Said moisture absorbing layer can have a moisture vapor transmission rate greater than 300gm-2 24h-1 at 37 OC and 100% to 10% relative humidity. Webster et al teaches said polyurethane incompatible films useable as backing layers are disclosed in GB patent 208172 (deemed to be incorporated in the disclosure Webster et al). Said polyurethane either with/without the incompatible polymer anticipates the polymers of claims 14, and 16-23. Wherein, the isocyanate linkages in the polyurethane are hard segments and the soft segments are the ester linkages, thus anticipating claims 19-20.

GB 2021872 teaches cold drawn films comprising a blend a polyurethane polymer and an incompatible polymer, wherein in said incompatible polymer may comprise other components, such as lubricants. Said incompatible polymer appears to anticipate applicant's non-activatable material in claims 24-25 and the non-elastomeric polymer in claim 10. Said polymer blend comprises from 40% to 90% of the polyurethane. This appears to anticipate claims 26-27. Said incompatible polymer may be a polyolefin, such as polystyrene that comprises up to 10% of a lubricant, such as glyceryl monostearate. Said polymer blends are mixed, extruded and stretched to form an elastomeric film. Said stretching is carried out at temperatures between 10 0C and 45 0c in a longitudinal or transverse direction. The film is stretched between 200 and 500 percent, wherein the

Art Unit: 1711

film has a recoverable elastic strain of at least 100% or more. This appears to read on applicant's draw or stretching ratio in claims 6-8.

Webster et al teaches the hydrophilic polymer layer can absorb at least 5%, up to 300 or 400% of their weight when fully hydrated and have a linear expansion of 15 to 55%. Therefore, the examiner contends that the moisture vapor permeable layer (backing layer) will expand when the foam layer absorbs exudate. Because the backing layer is the control mechanism for the escape of moisture vapor from the foam layer. said baking layer (moisture vapor permeable layer) it is deemed to be responsive to when exposed to moisture, i.e. the baking layer would have to expand as the foam layer expands in response to the absorption of exudates. This appears to anticipate claim 5 and the multi-layered structure of claim 9. The examiner deems the "moisture" teachings from the absorb exudates anticipated humidity because a wound in a closed environment produces heat from body temperature and moisture from the exudates to give a humid environment, which appears to be a moisture responsive material of claims 1-4. Therefore, the dressing of Webster et al is deemed to anticipate the claimed invention and thus the wound dressing of Webster et al should inherently exhibit a temperature change less than about 12 to 15 0C when subjected to a humid environment.

3. Claims 1-22, 24-28 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakakibara et al (5,112,903).

Sakakibara et al teaches articles molded from moisture shrinkable resins, which experience significantly smaller decrease in tensile strength during shrinking by absorbing moisture. Said articles do not require any heating for their shrinkage, but shrink in highly humid atmosphere (75% or higher) at room temperature. These appear to anticipate claims 2-4. Said articles are stretched. Said moisture shrinkable resins comprise a blend of a thermoplastic polymer with a hydrophilic synthetic polymer or a polysaccharide or a graft copolymer of the proceeding polymers. This appears to anticipate claims 10, 14-22, wherein the hydrophilic polymer is deemed to read on the moisture-absorbing polymer. Said article absorbs moisture and shrink at room temperature of not more than 50 CC. Said thermoplastic polymers can be selected from the group found in column 3, lines 8-42, wherein they can be used alone or in combinations, wherein thermoplastic polyurethane elastomers, thermoplastic rubbers,

Art Unit: 1711

nylons, styrene-butadienes are disclosed. These appear to anticipate claim 14. Said hydrophilic polymers can be chosen from four groups of polymer; (1) polymers having nonionic groups; (2) polymers having anionic groups; (3) polymers having cationic groups: and (4) polymers having amphoteric groups, wherein polyvinyl alcohols and derivatives thereof, ethylene-vinyl alcohol polymers are disclosed. The polymers of any groups can be used alone or in combinations, preferably said polymers comprise a structural unit including hydroxyl groups, ether groups or both. These are deemed to anticipate claims 15-22. Said polymer blend can comprise a ratio of 10:90 to 90:10 (thermoplastic to hydrophilic) within the composition. These ratios appear to anticipate claims 26-27. In addition, said resin composition can include extenders and additives as defined in column 15, lines 9-31. These appear to anticipate the non-activatable materials of claims 24-25.

Said polymer blend formed into a molded article, such as a film, sheet, tape, mesh, foam, fiber, or rod carried out by either by methods of stretch molding, such as stretch extrusion, blown film extrusion, or calendaring for use in such industries as meat packaging. Or, said method may be done by casting the blend into a film and then stretching said film. Said stretching can be done at heating at optimal temperatures for stretching, stretching the film biaxially, and then cooling the film. Per examples 6-7, Sakakibara et al teaches a stretch or draw ratio in the machine direction of 3.6 and in the transverse direction of 4.0 in example 6 and a machine direction of 3.2 and in the transverse direction of 3.6. This appears to anticipate claims 7-8. Sakakibara et al teaches that the molded articles can be in the form of multi-layered laminate films using extrusion laminate method followed by stretching using a multi-circular die. This appears to anticipate claim 9.

The inventions of claims 1-22 and 24-28 are anticipated by the reference, therefore the articles of Sakakibara et al would inherently exhibit at least a 20% to 50% reduction in modulus and exhibit a temperature change less than 12-15% when exposed to a humid environment, which anticipates claims 11-13 and 30-31.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1711

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanza L McClendon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1074. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sanza L McClendon

Examiner

Art Unit 1711

SMc